

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/442,568 | 11/18/1999 | FRANK DIMEO JR. | 401 | 6099 |
| 75 | 90 07/29/2002 | | | |
| OLIVER A ZITZMANN | | | EXAMINER | |
| ATMI INC 7 COMMERCE | | | PHAM, HOA Q | |
| DANBURY, C | 1 06810 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2877 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002 | ! |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | | a sx | | | | | |
|---|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 09/442,568 | DIMEO ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Hoa Q. Pham | 2877 | | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a re by within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a. cause the application to become AB | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | 1 . | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 | <u>May 2002</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | ance except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D | ers, prosecution as to the merits 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | is | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>30-32,35-45 and 63-70</u> is/are pendir | ng in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) \boxtimes Claim(s) 30-32 and 35-45 is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63-70</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>07 M</u> | | b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | • | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n prionty under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional applicati | ion). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of I | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/24/02 has been entered.

Drawings

- 1. The drawings corrections filed on 1/10/2002 and 10/11/2001 are discarded and o the new figures 9-11 filed 5/7/2002 have been approved.
- 2. With respect to the amendment filed on 5/7/02, claims 30-32, 35-45, and 63-70 are pending.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. With respect to the paragraph describes figure 11 in page 22, the reference numeral "32" should be changed to –52--

Art Unit: 2877

b. The phrase "comprise a hydrogen-permeable material, such as Mg, Ca, Al, Ir, Ni, or Co" in the paragraph describes figures 9-11 should be changed to --comprise a hydrogen-permeable material that is doped with a dopant such as Mg, Ca, Al, Ir, Ni, or Co--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 5. Claims 63 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Ito et al (4,661,320).

As understood from the present specification, a light source can perform both functions, heating and lighting. Regarding claim 63; Ito et al (of record) discloses a light/heat source (5), detector (6) and an optical barrier (1) there between, wherein the optical barrier response to the presence of the hydrogen by responsively changing from a first optical state to a different second state to indicate the presence of hydrogen gas in the gaseous environment. See figure 1 and column 3, line 64 through column 4 line 13.

Regarding claim 67, Ito et al teaches that protective film comprises a palladium film (column 3 lines 19-20).

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 64-66 and 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al in view of Griessen et al (Journal of Alloys and Compounds, vol. 153-154 (1997)).

Regarding claims 64-66 and 70, Ito et al teaches that the light source is a light emitting diode (LED) (column 2 lines 58-59) and does not teach that the light source is a lamp such as an incandescent lamp or fluorescent lamp. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a lamp instead of a LED because they are function in the same manner. A substitution for each other is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 68 and 69; the roughing method such as mechanical roughing, chemical roughing, etc... are well known in the art, thus it would have been obvious to use such a roughing method for forming an optical filter as now claimed in claims 68 and 69.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 30-32 and 35-45 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP July 19, 2002